

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

PLANNING COMMITTEE (1st July 2019)

OBSERVATIONS/REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED SINCE COMPLETION OF REPORT

Page 3

19/00550/FUL – ERECTION OF 3 SETS OF SECURITY GATES, CCTV AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES (JUNCTIONS OF KEEPERS ROAD WITH WALSALL ROAD, ENDWOOD DRIVE WITH ROSEMARY HILL ROAD AND PARK DRIVE WITH ROSEMARY HILL ROAD)

Amended Observations:

2 additional representations have been received which are summarised as follows:

- Support in principle but we do not know costings;
- The company involved are clearly experienced;
- We have no concerns regarding emergency vehicles;
- Where are the other existing gates on the park;
- The roads should only be gated at night.

Additional Information

Additional information has been received since the publication of the committee report. The Applicant's Agent has attempted to address the highway concerns by suggesting alternative ways to operate the gates. This additional information can be made available for further consultation with consultees and interested parties subject to Members agreement.

Additional Representations

Page 9 is updated. Following completion of the report, a petition containing 84 signatures has been submitted in support of the proposal.

Amended Recommendation

Pages 7 and 16 are updated to amend the Officer's recommendation to defer the application to allow sufficient time for the consultation, and consideration of the additional information.

Page 17

19/00584/FUL – Removal of condition 2 of permission 10/00472/FUL relating to removal of fence at Hawkesyard Estate, Armitage Lane, Armitage.

Amended Observations:

Page 24, Paragraph 4.4. Following an additional site visit after a resident queried the height of the fence, additional measurements have been taken at multiple points along the application fence which shows that at certain points, the fence exceeds 2 metres in height by around 5 to 9 centimetres. This is considered to be de-minimis and does not change the ability for the applicant to erect a 2 metre high fence at this location, which could be of a less acceptable design and appearance (i.e. a solid structure) than the existing fence thus having the potential for greater harm to the Green Belt and character of the area. Accordingly, the recommendation remains as set out in the main report.

Page 24, Paragraph 5.3. Also an update is proposed in response to a residents query regarding protruding metal on the fence. It is noted that whilst some small sections of the fence appear to have distorted mesh, this is not considered to significantly affect the overall appearance and finish of the fence, and therefore would not warrant a refusal.

Amended Relevant Planning History:

Page 20 is updated to reflect the receipt of an application made valid on 25th June 2019 for the retention of 4 x non-illuminated advertisements (reference 19/00864/ADV), and a further application made valid on 1st July 2019 for the retention of 2 x shelters (reference 19/00935/FUL).