## Health Inequalities within Lichfield District: Summary Report for OSC Feb 2011

## Background

The health and well-being of the population of Lichfield District has previously been considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee (in 2010) through the Staying Healthy strategy. Overall Lichfield District is not significantly worse than England for many health and well-being indicators. However, these figures are averages for the whole district and do not reflect areas where there is greater need within the district.

This summary presents health and wellbeing information at a ward level allowing variations within the district of Lichfield to be clearly identified. The data will be presented at OSC, this paper is intended to provide a summary of the data in advance of the meeting.

## Information presented

Table 1 shows a number of health and well-being indicators by ward. Where possible these are actual figures, where ward-level data are not available estimates have been derived based on the characteristics of the local population. The green squares are statistically better than England, the red squares are statistically worse.

Table 2 shows the same indicators but the wards have been ranked from 1 to 26 where 1 is the ward with the worst score and 26 the ward with the best score for each indicator. On this table the red boxes show the lowest 5 ranked wards for each indicator. This allows a pattern to emerge where wards have a number of indicators in the lowest 5 across Lichfield District (ie lots of red boxes). In addition the ranks have been totalled and the 8 wards with the lowest ranks overall have been coloured yellow ie Chasetown, Fazeley, Chadsmead, Curborough, Summerfield, Burntwood Central, Armitage with Handsacre and Stowe.

## What this shows

These tables demonstrate that there is significant variation in the health and wellbeing of residents of Lichfield District. Although the district as a whole does not appear to have particular health issues, when considered at a ward level inequalities in health become apparent.

Many factors impact on the health and well-being of individuals, not just the provision of health services. The conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work and age can all lead to health inequalities. The Marmot Review into health inequalities in England was published in 2010. This looks at the differences in health and well-being between social groups and describes how the social gradient on health inequalities is reflected in the social gradient on educational attainment, employment, income, quality of neighbourhood and so on. In addressing health inequalities the Review asserts that it is not sufficient just to focus on the bottom 10 per cent because there are poorer outcomes all the way down from the top. Universal action is needed to reduce the steepness of the social gradient of health inequalities, but with a scale and intensity that is proportionate to the level of disadvantage.

Key to Marmot's approach to addressing health inequalities is to create the conditions for people to take control of their own lives. This requires action across the social determinants of health and beyond the reach of the NHS. This places renewed emphasis on the role of local government who along with national government departments, the voluntary and private sector have a key role to play.

## Next steps

Tackling inequalities requires a "whole-system" approach over the long term. The information in this paper will be shared with partners through the LSP, asking partners to consider the information both in terms of:

- their own organisation and contribution to reducing inequalities
- adding value by working together as a partnership to reduce inequalities.

Partners in Lichfield District are already working together through the multi-agency project "Let's Work Together". This work will enable home visitors to identify risks to individuals in their own homes and offer the appropriate services to reduce these risks including reducing risk of fire, improving personal safety, improving health, reducing risk of falls, improving housing and warmth, supporting issues with debt and supporting carers. This approach will be embedded in the way local statutory and voluntary organisations work to support people to live healthy, safe and independent lives.

A Staffordshire health and well-being strategy has been produced and endorsed by both LDC cabinet and Lichfield District Board. Work to reduce inequalities will contribute to the delivery of this strategy locally within Lichfield District.

Table 1: Lichfield District - Summary of Health Indicators by Ward

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 흠 } \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \stackrel{0}{0} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ®. }}{\text { ® }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population estimate | 3,500 | 5,800 | 5,100 | 4,900 | 3,200 | 2,600 | 2,900 | 3,700 | 5,300 | 3,600 | 1,800 | 4,900 | 4,600 | 3,200 | 3,800 | 1,700 | 6,500 | 2,900 | 1,900 | 3,700 | 3,200 | 5,600 | 1,500 | 5,000 | 3,800 | 3,400 | 97,900 | 828,700 | 51,809,700 | 2008 |
| Percentage of population aged under 16 | 16\% | 22\% | 19\% | 15\% | 16\% | 13\% | 16\% | 24\% | 20\% | 19\% | 18\% | 19\% | 18\% | 13\% | 20\% | 16\% | 20\% | 17\% | 13\% | 18\% | 19\% | 16\% | 17\% | 15\% | 22\% | 19\% | 18\% | 18\% | 19\% | 2008 |
| Percentage of population aged 65 or over | 24\% | 15\% | 17\% | 18\% | 20\% | 10\% | 17\% | 15\% | 14\% | 20\% | 20\% | 17\% | 19\% | 23\% | 12\% | 21\% | 19\% | 25\% | 25\% | 19\% | 18\% | 24\% | 21\% | 23\% | 14\% | 20\% | 19\% | 18\% | 16\% | 2008 |
| Percentage of population that are not White British | 2.1\% | 3.4\% | 2.4\% | 4.2\% | 2.9\% | 6.7\% | 2.0\% | 2.8\% | 2.5\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.5\% | 4.5\% | 13.3\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 3.9\% | 4.7\% | 4.3\% | 3.4\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.8\% | 13.2\% | 2001 |
| Percentage of population in the second deprived Index of Multiple Deprivation 2007 national quintile | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 51\% | 0\% | 0\% | 55\% | 0\% | 48\% | 0\% | 65\% | 34\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 30\% | 33\% | 0\% | 13\% | 17\% | 20\% | 2007 |
| Percentage of population in the second most deprived Child WellBeing Index 2009 national quintile | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 66\% | 0\% | 56\% | 0\% | 37\% | 38\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 15\% | 20\% | 2009 |
| General fertility rates (live births per 1,000 women aged $15-44$ ) | 44.3 | 70.7 | 55.2 | 47.6 | 50.8 | 38.5 | 41.5 | 72.7 | 54.3 | 57.7 | 54.2 | 49.0 | 66.9 | 42.9 | 55.1 | 43.6 | 80.7 | 56.8 | 29.7 | 50.8 | 44.2 | 60.4 | 52.4 | 48.7 | 73.4 | 69.4 | 57.3 | 56.5 | 62.1 | 2006-2008 |
| Percentage of births with a low birthweight (under 2,500 grams) | 9.5\% | 9.9\% | 1.8\% | 6.3\% | 6.0\% | 9.1\% | 11.8\% | 14.9\% | 6.3\% | 8.5\% | 2.2\% | 8.0\% | 10.7\% | 9.7\% | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 5.9\% | 8.7\% | 3.2\% | 3.1\% | 11.3\% | 5.1\% | 10.7\% | 6.5\% | 6.3\% | 7.7\% | 8.0\% | 7.6\% | 2004-2008 |
| Life expectancy at birth for males (years) | 78.9 | 80.7 | 75.0 | 83.3 | 79.2 | 76.4 | 77.1 | 79.1 | 77.4 | 76.0 | 75.3 | 75.3 | 76.6 | 77.0 | 82.6 | 77.9 | 76.9 | 81.1 | 84.3 | 76.8 | 80.5 | 79.6 | 80.0 | 76.3 | 78.8 | 79.4 | 78.2 | 77.6 | 77.6 | 2004-2008 |
| Life expectancy at birth for females (years) | 81.6 | 82.0 | 80.1 | 84.4 | 87.5 | 79.0 | 77.8 | 80.7 | 80.2 | 78.3 | 81.7 | 82.7 | 81.7 | 78.8 | 81.7 | 79.8 | 80.4 | 84.0 | 85.2 | 80.3 | 83.1 | 80.4 | 84.6 | 81.6 | 83.2 | 82.2 | 81.1 | 81.4 | 81.8 | 2004-2008 |
| All-age all cause mortaily rate per 100,000 population | 594 | 529 | 790 | 437 | 442 | 739 | 787 | 607 | 664 | 726 | 627 | 607 | 637 | 671 | 490 | 687 | 675 | 480 | 428 | 803 | 619 | 488 | 460 | 614 | 540 | 556 | 606 | 612 | 596 | 2004-2008 |
| Premature mortaily rate per 100,000 population aged under 75 | 262 | 283 | 342 | 182 | 219 | 311 | 355 | 316 | 272 | 371 | 310 | 356 | 333 | 273 | 219 | 254 | 292 | 193 | 234 | 261 | 243 | 257 | 227 | 354 | 326 | 236 | 279 | 295 | 302 | 2004-2008 |
| Premature mortality from circulatory diseases (rate per 100,000 people aged under 75) | 72 | 70 | 93 | 48 | 59 | 54 | 105 | 108 | 79 | 125 | 54 | 109 | 80 | 58 | 42 | 53 | 76 | 33 | 26 | 60 | 100 | 44 | 59 | 103 | 90 | 32 | 72 | 75 | 79 | 2004-2008 |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Premature mortality from cancers } \\ \text { (rate per 100,000 people aged under 75) }\end{array}$ | 98 | 126 | 114 | 85 | 97 | 143 | 103 | 118 | 98 | 153 | 94 | 119 | 150 | 105 | 103 | 79 | 120 | 80 | 95 | 108 | 63 | 109 | 101 | 103 | 158 | 95 | 109 | 113 | 115 | 2004-2008 |
| Mental lliness Needs Index (MINI) (rate per 100,000 population) | 318 | 207 | 262 | 278 | 322 | 149 | 324 | 339 | 294 | 459 | 179 | 321 | 329 | 231 | 234 | 174 | 255 | 132 | 158 | 158 | 171 | 208 | 195 | 333 | 326 | 158 | 264 | 340 | 340 | 200 |
| Percentage of population with a self reported limiting long term illness | 17\% | 14\% | 18\% | 13\% | 18\% | 14\% | 16\% | 17\% | 15\% | 21\% | 17\% | 18\% | 20\% | 16\% | 12\% | 16\% | 18\% | 11\% | 16\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 17\% | 21\% | 15\% | 12\% | 17\% | 18\% | 18\% | 2001 |
| Smoking prevalence - adults (Mosaic estimates) | 21\% | 19\% | 24\% | 18\% | 26\% | 14\% | 23\% | 32\% | 23\% | 30\% | 17\% | 28\% | 26\% | 19\% | 18\% | 15\% | 20\% | 13\% | 15\% | 17\% | 17\% | 19\% | 17\% | 24\% | 30\% | 19\% | 22\% | 24\% | 26\% | 2009 |
| Obesity prevalence - adults (Mosaic estimates) | 17\% | 15\% | 16\% | 15\% | 18\% | 12\% | 17\% | 17\% | 17\% | 18\% | 16\% | 18\% | 17\% | 17\% | 15\% | 14\% | 15\% | 13\% | 14\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 16\% | 18\% | 15\% | 16\% | 17\% | 16\% | 2009 |
| Percentage of adult population eating five or more portions of fruit or vegetables a day (Mosaic estimates) | 25\% | 28\% | 24\% | 29\% | 23\% | 26\% | 24\% | 22\% | 24\% | 22\% | 30\% | 22\% | 23\% | 27\% | 28\% | 33\% | 28\% | 34\% | 31\% | 30\% | 30\% | 28\% | 29\% | 25\% | 21\% | 28\% | 26\% | 24\% | 25\% | 2009 |
| Percentage of adult population doing no exercise in the last month (Mosaic estimates) | 50\% | 45\% | 48\% | 44\% | 54\% | 36\% | 51\% | 54\% | 49\% | 55\% | 46\% | 54\% | 53\% | 49\% | 44\% | 43\% | 47\% | 40\% | 42\% | 44\% | 45\% | 45\% | 46\% | 51\% | 54\% | 44\% | 48\% | 50\% | 51\% | 2009 |

Key: green = statistically better than England, red = statistically worse than England

Table 2: Lichfield District - Ranking of Health Indicators by Ward

|  | Measure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \stackrel{y y y y}{0} \\ i \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total population | 3,500 | 5,800 | 5,100 | 4,900 | 3,200 | 2,600 | 2,900 | 3,700 | 5,300 | 3,600 | 1,800 | 4,900 | 4,600 | 3,200 | 3,800 | 1,700 | 6,500 | 2,900 | 1,900 | 3,700 | 3,200 | 5,600 | 1,500 | 5,000 | 3,800 | 3,400 |
|  | $\%$ of the population aged under 16 | 16\% | 22\% | 19\% | 15\% | 16\% | 13\% | 16\% | 24\% | 20\% | 19\% | 18\% | 19\% | 18\% | 13\% | 20\% | 16\% | 20\% | 17\% | 13\% | 18\% | 19\% | 16\% | 17\% | 15\% | 22\% | 19\% |
|  | \% of the population aged 65 or over | 24\% | 15\% | 17\% | 18\% | 20\% | 10\% | 17\% | 15\% | 14\% | 20\% | 20\% | 17\% | 19\% | 23\% | 12\% | 21\% | 19\% | 25\% | 25\% | 19\% | 18\% | 24\% | 21\% | 23\% | 14\% | 20\% |
|  | \% of population that were not White British | 2.1\% | 3.4\% | 2.4\% | 4.2\% | 2.9\% | 6.7\% | 2.0\% | 2.8\% | 2.5\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.5\% | 4.5\% | 13.3\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 3.9\% | 4.7\% | 4.3\% | 3.4\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Live births per 100,000 women aged 15-44 | 44.3 | 70.7 | 55.2 | 47.6 | 50.8 | 38.5 | 41.5 | 72.7 | 54.3 | 57.7 | 54.2 | 49.0 | 66.9 | 42.9 | 55.1 | 43.6 | 80.7 | 56.8 | 29.7 | 50.8 | 44.2 | 60.4 | 52.4 | 48.7 | 73.4 | 69.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\ddot{U}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \\ & \text { ¢ } \end{aligned}$ | 1 = The highest Index of Multiple Deprivation score | 19 | 20 | 10 | 26 | 7 | 6 | 15 | 1 | 16 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 24 | 17 | 14 | 25 | 18 | 11 | 22 | 13 | 21 | 8 | 5 | 23 |
|  | 1 = The most deprived Child Wellbeing Index ward. | 26 | 19 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 18 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 14 | 24 | 21 | 25 | 8 | 17 | 11 | 22 | 16 | 20 | 5 | 23 |
|  | $1=$ Highest \% of births with a low birth rate (under 2,500 grams) | 8 | 6 | 25 | 17 | 18 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 11 | 24 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 26 | 20 | 19 | 10 | 22 | 23 | 3 | 21 | 5 | 14 | 16 |
|  | $1=$ Lowest life expectancy in years (males) | 15 | 22 | 1 | 25 | 17 | 6 | 11 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 24 | 13 | 9 | ${ }^{23}$ | 26 | 8 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 5 | 14 | 18 |
|  | $1=$ Lowest life expectancy in years (females) | 13 | 17 | 6 | 23 | 26 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 14 | 19 | 15 | 3 | 16 | 5 | 9 | 22 | 25 | 8 | 20 | 10 | 24 | 12 | 21 | 18 |
|  | $1=$ Highest age standardised overall mortality rate per 100,000 people | 16 | 19 | 2 | 25 | 24 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 20 | 6 | 7 | 22 | 26 | 1 | 12 | 21 | 23 | 13 | 18 | 17 |
|  | 1 = Highest age standardised mortality rate per 100,000 people aged under 75 | 15 | 12 | 5 | 26 | 23 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 24 | 18 | 11 | 25 | 21 | 16 | 19 | 17 | 22 | 4 | 7 | 20 |
|  | 1 = Highest age standardised mortality from circulatory diseases rate per 100,000 people aged under 75 | 12 | 13 | 7 | 21 | 15 | 18 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 19 | 2 | 9 | 17 | 23 | 20 | 11 | 24 | 26 | 14 | 6 | 22 | 16 | 5 | 8 | 25 |
|  | 1 = Highest age standardised mortality from cancers - rate per 100,000 people aged under 75 | 18 | 5 | 9 | 23 | 19 | 4 | 15 | 8 | 17 | 2 | 22 | 7 | 3 | 12 | 13 | 25 | 6 | 24 | 21 | 11 | 26 | 10 | 16 | 14 | 1 | 20 |
|  | 1 = Highest crude rate of severe mental health (MINI Index) per 100,000 population | 9 | 17 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 25 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 19 | 8 | 4 | 15 | 14 | 20 | 13 | 26 | 22 | 24 | 21 | 16 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 23 |
|  | $1=$ Highest \% of self reported limiting long term illness | 11 | 22 | 6 | 23 | 4 | 21 | 15 | 9 | 17 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 14 | 25 | 13 | 5 | 26 | 12 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 8 | 2 | 16 | 24 |
|  | 1 = Highest $\%$ of adult population that are current smokers (Mosaic Estimates) | 11 | 13 | 7 | 17 | 5 | 25 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 19 | 4 | 6 | 14 | 18 | 24 | 12 | 26 | 23 | 21 | 22 | 15 | 20 | 8 | 2 | 16 |
|  | $1=$ Highest $\%$ of adult population with BMI over 30 (Mosaic Estimates) | 6 | 14 | 11 | 19 | 1 | 26 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 23 | 17 | 25 | 24 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 15 | 12 | 3 | 18 |
|  | $1=$ Lowest $\%$ of adult population eating 5 or more portions of fruit or vegetables a day (Mosaic Estimates) | 10 | 14 | 7 | 19 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 22 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 16 | 25 | 15 | 26 | 24 | 21 | 23 | 18 | 20 | 11 | 1 | 17 |
|  | $1=$ Highest $\%$ of adult population doing no exercise in the last month (Mosaic Estimates) | 9 | 16 | 12 | 19 | 3 | 26 | 7 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 21 | 23 | 13 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 20 |
|  | Total | 198 | 229 | 126 | 306 | 183 | 202 | 125 | 91 | 179 | 40 | 219 | 98 | 91 | 170 | 280 | 282 | 183 | 363 | 310 | 234 | 284 | 244 | 275 | 130 | 124 | 298 |
|  | Rank of Total | 13 | 16 | 7 | 24 | 11 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 20 | 21 | 11 | 26 | 25 | 17 | ${ }^{22}$ | 18 | 19 | 8 | 5 | ${ }^{23}$ |

Key: red = within 5 lowest ranking wards for that indicator, yellow $=$ within 8 lowest ranking wards for all indicators

