

REPORT FOR DECISION

FOR: REGULATORY AND LICENSING COMMITTEE

Date: 18 February 2014

Agenda Item: 4

Contact Officers: John Brown

Telephone Extension: 308061

BOUNDARY COMMISSION REVIEW

1. Purpose of Report:

- 1.1 To consider the results of the Working Group appointed to formulate a view on the revised Warding arrangements and determine the submission to be sent to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England.

2. Recommendations:

- 2.1 That Council be asked to agree to the revised boundary arrangements so that they might be forwarded to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England in response to their consultation exercise.

3. Background:

- 3.1 Members will be aware that the Local Government Boundary Commission for England are currently undertaking a review of the number of Members required for the District Council and consequent revised Warding arrangements. As a first stage the Commission have determined that the number of Members should be 47 and they are currently undertaking a consultation exercise on proposed revised Warding arrangements which would follow. Several meetings of the Working Group appointed have been held in order to look at the revised Warding arrangements and cross party agreement was reached in a number of areas. The majority Conservative Group submission is attached as Appendix A and the Labour Group submission is attached at Appendix B.
- 3.2 An overview of the proposed Ward boundaries alongside the current boundaries is available on the Council's LocalView GIS system: <https://localview.lichfielddc.gov.uk/LocalViewPub/Sites/Wards2015/> Plans will also be on display at the meeting.
- 3.3 Members are asked to consider the revised Warding arrangements put forward and agree a recommendation for submission to Council on 24 January 2014. Any decision by Council will be submitted to the Boundary Commission before the end of the consultation exercise.

4. Financial Implications:

- 4.1 There are no specific financial implications as a result of this report but consequential financial implications would follow.

Conservative Group Proposal

LICHFIELD CITY WARDS (see plan at Appendix I)

There is cross party agreement on the Lichfield Wards.

Proposed Ward Name	Projected Electorate in 2019	Number of Councillors	Electoral variance by 2019	Supporting Information
Boley Park	3921	2	5.74	<p>Amended Ward</p> <p>It is proposed that Polling District RD (Old Burton West) transfers to Stowe Ward. This change allows the Ward boundary to follow the railway line which provides a physical, easily identifiable boundary line.</p> <p>It is also proposed to amend the boundary in the south east of the Ward to incorporate 504 electors from St Johns Ward (part of polling district RP – Cherry Orchard) to improve electoral equality.</p>
Chadsmead	3432.39	2	-7.43	<p>Amended Ward</p> <p>It is proposed that the boundary with Curborough Ward be amended to include part of polling district RK (St. Chads) to increase the electorate by 550 and thereby improve electoral equality.</p>

Proposed Ward Name	Projected Electorate in 2019	Number of Councillors	Electoral variance by 2019	Supporting Information
Curborough	3468.24	2	-6.47	Amended Ward It is proposed that the boundary be amended by transferring part of polling district RK (St. Chads) to Chadsmead Ward to decrease the electorate by 550 thereby improving electoral equality.
Leomansley	5871.14	3	5.56	Existing Ward
St Johns	5764.96	3	3.64	Amended Ward It is proposed that the boundary be amended to transfer 504 electors to Boley Ward (part of polling district RP – Cherry Orchard) to improve electoral equality.
Stowe	5100.60	3	-8.30	Amended Ward It is proposed that polling district RD (Old Burton West) be transferred from Boley Park Ward to Stowe Ward. This change allows the Ward Boundary to follow the railway line which provides a physical, easily identifiable boundary.

BURNTWOOD WARDS (see plan at Appendix I)

There is cross party agreement on the Burntwood Wards with the exception of Highfield Ward.

Proposed Ward Name	Projected Electorate in 2019	Number of Councillors	Electoral variance by 2019	Supporting Information
Boney Hay & Central	5215.89	3	-7.4	New Ward It is proposed that the former Boney Hay and Central Wards are merged to improve electoral equality while recognising and maintaining the communities represented by the former wards.
Summerfield & All Saints	6079.41	3	9.3	New Ward It is proposed that Summerfield Ward and All Saints Ward are merged to improve electoral equality. This ensures that the communities represented by the former wards are kept intact within the new, larger ward.
Chase Terrace	4045.04	2	9	Existing Ward
Highfield	3246.9	2	-12.4	Existing Ward
Chasetown	3722	2	0.37	Amended Ward

Proposed Ward Name	Projected Electorate in 2019	Number of Councillors	Electoral variance by 2019	Supporting Information
				<p>It is proposed to move polling district LB (Pool) to Hammerwich Ward. This ensures electoral equality and makes Chasetown and Hammerwich parish boundaries co-terminus thereby correcting an anomaly with the existing arrangement.</p>

LICHFIELD DISTRICT RURAL WARDS (see plan at Appendix I)

The proposal submitted by the Labour Group for the Rural wards is at Appendix B

Proposed Ward Name	Projected Electorate in 2019	Number of Councillors	Electoral variance by 2019	Supporting Information
Alrewas and Fradley	5652.56	3	1.63	Existing Ward It is proposed that the ward retains its current boundaries and in view of the projected electorate of 5652 remains a three member ward. <i>* The Alrewas and Fradley Ward also remains unchanged in the Labour Group proposal</i>
Streethay	1859.96	1	0.32	New Ward The proposed new Ward of Streethay represents a distinct community. The arrangement takes into account future housing development in the area which will see the community increase in size.
Armitage with Handsacre	6220.66	3	11.84	Amended Ward It is proposed to extend the Armitage and Handsacre Ward to include the village of Kings Bromley. All these settlements are linked by the A513.

Proposed Ward Name	Projected Electorate in 2019	Number of Councillors	Electoral variance by 2019	Supporting Information
Colton and the Ridwares	1849.36	1	-0.25	<p>Amended Ward</p> <p>It is proposed that the former Colton and Mavesyn Ridware Ward is extended eastwards to include Hamstall Ridware thereby uniting the two Ridware villages.</p> <p>Colton, Mavesyn Ridware and Hamstall Ridware are all rural communities and bringing them together delivers electoral equality.</p>
Hammerwich	3257	2	-12.16	<p>Amended Ward</p> <p>It is proposed that polling district LB (Pool) be transferred into Hammerwich Ward from Chasetown. This ensures electoral equality and makes Chasetown and Hammerwich parish boundaries co-terminus thereby correcting an anomaly with the existing arrangement.</p>
Longdon	1812.48	1	-2.24	<p>Amended Ward</p> <p>It is proposed that Longdon Ward be enlarged to include polling areas FA (Curborough and Elmhurst) thus retaining the rural nature of the Ward while improving electoral equality.</p>

Proposed Ward Name	Projected Electorate in 2019	Number of Councillors	Electoral variance by 2019	Supporting Information
Bourne Vale	1680.01	1	-9.38	<p>Amended Ward</p> <p>Bourne Vale is made up of four parishes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Weeford - Hints & Canwell - Drayton Bassett - Swinford & Packington <p>It is proposed that the Ward Boundary be amended to include the area of Drayton Bassett Parish currently in Fazeley. This would bring the whole parish back together as one.</p> <p>In addition to rectifying an anomaly it also brings the whole of the Drayton Manor Theme Park into one ward rather than splitting it across two.</p> <p>As a whole the ward is made up of a collection of small rural villages each represented by one of the four Parish Councils.</p> <p>The variance reflects the fact that the area is geographically a challenging ward to represent.</p>

Proposed Ward Name	Projected Electorate in 2019	Number of Councillors	Electoral variance by 2019	Supporting Information
Fazeley	4113.52	2	10.94	<p>Amended Ward</p> <p>Fazeley has a town council made up of :</p> <p>Fazeley Bonehill/Mile Oak</p> <p>It is proposed the boundary be amended to unify the parish of Drayton Bassett within Bourne Vale Ward by transferring polling district GA (Drayton Bassett).</p> <p>As a long narrow ward it falls into two distinct areas. Fazeley Town is a tight integrated community while Mile Oak has more rural surroundings on the edge of the Bourne Vale and tends to see itself as a separate community.</p> <p>Consideration was given to making Mile Oak and Fazeley into single Member wards but this leaves Fazeley significantly oversize and therefore it is proposed that it remains as a single two Member ward.</p> <p><i>*The Labour Group proposal for Fazeley differs in so far that it also includes the transfer of 273 electors from polling district KC (Mile Oak) to Mease and Tame. There is agreement on unifying Drayton Bassett</i></p>

Proposed Ward Name	Projected Electorate in 2019	Number of Councillors	Electoral variance by 2019	Supporting Information
				<i>Parish by transferring polling district GA (Drayton Bassett) to the ward to the south of Fazeley.</i>
Little Aston	3147.08	2	-15.13	<p>Amended Ward</p> <p>The existing Ward of Little Aston is a distinct community, however on its own it falls short of the number of electors required for a single ward.</p> <p>The adjacent boundaries with Birmingham and Walsall offer little opportunity to adjust numbers and splitting Little Aston between wards would break the community affinity.</p> <p>Therefore electoral equality has been addressed by incorporating electors from the surrounding rural belt together with Little Hay.</p>
Mease Valley	1984.75	1	7.05	<p>New Ward (currently part of Mease and Tame)</p> <p>The existing Mease and Tame Ward was created at the last boundary when two wards were combined into one ward represented by two Members.</p> <p>However this has not worked successfully</p>

Proposed Ward Name	Projected Electorate in 2019	Number of Councillors	Electoral variance by 2019	Supporting Information
				<p>since the area is very rural with seven parish councils and very diverse communities.</p> <p>From a Member point of view serving seven Parish Councils in an area that receives a high number of planning applications is very demanding and Members report that it is difficult to provide a consistent level of support.</p> <p>It is therefore proposed that a Mease Valley Ward be created consisting Clifton Campville, Thorpe Constantine, Edingale and Harlaston and Wigginton.</p>
Shenstone	1819.62	1	-1.85	<p>Amended Ward</p> <p>Shenstone currently has three Parish wards – Little Aston, Shenstone, Stonnall and Wall.</p> <p>The village of Shenstone is a tight knit community with a strong centre.</p> <p>The current link to Wall is not critical to its viability and the surrounding rural properties are not closely linked.</p> <p>Shenstone is in the process of producing a neighbourhood plan highlighting its individuality.</p>

Proposed Ward Name	Projected Electorate in 2019	Number of Councillors	Electoral variance by 2019	Supporting Information
				<p>It is therefore proposed that Shenstone be made a single Member Ward and that electoral balance be achieved by taking away some of the surrounding rural areas that do not have a close affinity with the village.</p> <p>It is also proposed that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shenstone Wood End be transferred to Little Aston given its close proximity to this community together with some of the surrounding rural area. • The length of Lynn lane that is largely regarded as Stonnall be incorporated in Stonnall with Wall Ward. • The Ashcroft Lane area of Shenstone Ward be transferred to Stonnall with Wall given its proximity to Chesterfield in Wall Parish.
Stonnall with Wall	1726.32	1	-6.94	<p>New Ward</p> <p>It is proposed that a new Ward be created comprising Stonnall and Wall.</p> <p>Stonnall was a small hamlet until the growth</p>

Proposed Ward Name	Projected Electorate in 2019	Number of Councillors	Electoral variance by 2019	Supporting Information
				<p>of housing in the 1950's and 60's. The result is a very close knit community with a large number of activities and groups that centre on the two village halls, playing fields and allotments. It also has a popular village school.</p> <p>Wall is rural with a small cluster of properties close to the village hall. There is also a church and public house/restaurant, which are not close together resulting in a scattered settlement.</p> <p>Wall is currently included in Shenstone Ward, but is not closely linked to Shenstone. Therefore including the Parish with Stonnall helps achieve greater electoral equality without impacting on the community.</p> <p>It is proposed that the new ward should also include the Ashcroft Lane area of Shenstone Ward given its proximity to Chesterfield in Wall Parish.</p> <p>It is also proposed that the length of Lynn lane that is largely regarded as Stonnall be incorporated within the new Ward.</p>


Proposed Ward Name	Projected Electorate in 2019	Number of Councillors	Electoral variance by 2019	Supporting Information
Whittington and Tame Ward	3982.89	2	7.41	<p>New Ward</p> <p>It is proposed that a Ward be created comprising Elford, Hopwas, Whittington St Giles, Whittington Barracks, and Fisherwick. Streethay, adjacent to Lichfield, would be removed from the Ward to create the new Ward of Streethay.</p> <p>The proposal addresses issues associated with the current Mease and Tame Ward; takes into account the increased number of electors which will result from the opening of a new facility at Whittington Barracks and allows for the growth of Streethay.</p>

Lichfield district council
www.lichfielddc.gov.uk
 District Council House
 Frog Lane
 Lichfield
 Staffs
 WS13 6YY
 Telephone: 01543 308131
 mapping@lichfielddc.gov.uk

Document Name:
 new wards

**New Ward
 Proposals 2015**

Lichfield City



Drawing Details:
 Scale: NOT TO SCALE
 Date: 11/02/2014
 Drawing No: Version 1.2
 Drawing Prepared by:
 Gareth Thomas - GIS Manager

Coordinate System: British National Grid
 Projection: Transverse Mercator
 Datum: OSGB 1936
 False Easting: 400,000.0000
 False Northing: -100,000.0000
 Central Meridian: -2.0000
 Scale Factor: 0.9996
 Latitude Of Origin: 49.0000
 Units: Meter


N
 W ← → E
 S

© Crown Copyright.
 Database Rights 2013
 Lichfield District Council.
 Licence No : 100017765.


Lichfield
district council
www.lichfielddc.gov.uk
District Council House
Frog Lane
Lichfield
Staffs
WS13 6YY
Telephone: 01543 308131
mapping@lichfielddc.gov.uk

Document Name:
new wards

**New Ward
Proposals 2015
Burntwood Town**



Drawing Details:
Scale: NOT TO SCALE
Date: 10/02/2014
Drawing No: Version 1.2
Drawing Prepared by:
Gareth Thomas - GIS Manager
Coordinate System: British National Grid
Projection: Transverse Mercator
Datum: OSGB 1936
False Easting: 400,000.0000
False Northing: -100,000.0000
Central Meridian: -2.0000
Scale Factor: 0.9996
Latitude Of Origin: 49.0000
Units: Meter




© Crown Copyright.
Database Rights 2013
Lichfield District Council.
Licence No : 100017765.


Document Name:
 new wards

**New Ward
 Proposals 2015**

Lichfield Rurals



Drawing Details:
 Scale: NOT TO SCALE
 Date: 10/02/2014
 Drawing No: Version 1.2
 Drawing Prepared by:
 Gareth Thomas - GIS Manager
 Coordinate System: British National Grid
 Projection: Transverse Mercator
 Datum: OSGB 1936
 False Easting: 400,000.0000
 False Northing: -100,000.0000
 Central Meridian: -2.0000
 Scale Factor: 0.9996
 Latitude Of Origin: 49.0000
 Units: Meter



© Crown Copyright.
 Database Rights 2013
 Lichfield District Council.
 Licence No : 100017765.

Draft 6/1**Lichfield District Minority Group****Submissions to the Local Government Boundary Commission Review of Lichfield District Council Electoral Review****Introduction**

These submissions are based firmly in the principles established by the Boundary Commission, namely, they aim to achieve equality of representation whilst reflecting the interests and identities of local communities and providing for effective and convenient local government. They are based on a Council size of 47 members and on projected 2019 electorates, using a divisor of 1880 to inform calculations of equitable representation.

In arriving at our submissions we have found it convenient to take the following factors into account:

- the existing Wards are too variable in size of electorate to allow easy equality of representation, particularly in the rural areas. Accordingly Ward boundaries will need to be redrawn;
- maintaining single member Wards is difficult in respect of the need for equality of representation. Accordingly, existing Wards will need to be merged;
- we believe that multi-member Wards are preferable to single member Wards in that they allow the burden to be shared and electors to be better served. Accordingly, redrawn Wards should be represented by a minimum of two Councillors;
- we believe a margin of variance of 10% in representation to be fair and generally achievable within the principles above;
- so far as is reasonably possible, existing Polling Districts should be maintained for the convenience of electors;
- we consider that, within the framework above and in the light of the principles espoused by the Boundary Commission, that it is preferable to treat urban and rural areas separately as far as is possible. Accordingly, these submissions comprise three parts: the Rural Areas; Lichfield City and Burntwood. The principle of equality of representation implies overall representation in each of these parts respectively of 20, 15 and 12 Councillors.

One consequence of this approach, made essential by the requirement of electoral equality, is that existing communities currently within single member Wards will be joined in electoral terms with other communities. We believe our submissions maintain the principle of recognising existing communities by ensuring that such communities are kept together within the larger Wards.

Proposals

The Rural Areas

Mease & Tame Ward

To remain a 2-member Ward. However, current boundaries give a projected electorate of 3063, and an over-representation. To correct this, we propose to transfer 4 small Polling Districts (PB, VA, VB and YC) with scattered populations from Bourne Vale and Whittington Wards together with a part of Polling District KC from Fazeley Ward comprising a small modern housing estate at Gainsborough Drive with the associated housing on Sutton Road.

Fazeley Ward

To become a 2-member Ward. At the projected electorate this is an under-representation. We propose to correct this by the transfer of electors from PD KC, as above, to Mease & Tame Ward together with the transfer of PD GA (Drayton Bassett) to the new Ward of Little Aston with Bourne Vale, so unifying the village and community of Drayton Bassett within that single Ward.

Little Aston with Bourne Vale Ward

This would be a new 2-member Ward. At a projected electorate of 2598, Little Aston is under-represented as a single member Ward and over-represented as a 2-member Ward, both outside the 10% variance. Bourne Vale similarly is over-represented on current projections. To resolve this, we propose a merger with Bourne Vale Ward together with the transfer of PD GA from Fazeley Ward to effect the unification of Drayton Bassett within a single Ward. Further, to complete the merger would require the transfer of three adjacent small communities, firstly, the transfer of Shenstone Wood End (PD UC) and Little Hay, from Shenstone Ward, which combined provide a natural link between the two existing Wards. These two small communities could easily be unified under a single Polling District with clear boundaries and an electorate of some 379 in total. This arrangement should be both more efficient and effective from the point of view of both Council and electorate, particularly if a small part of the existing Little Aston electorate on Blake Street and Birmingham Road were brought within scope of the enlarged PD. Secondly, the transfer of part of the small community of Mill Green, currently split between Little Aston and Stonnall Wards, to unify that community. This transfer would affect about 50 electors. Further, in order to enhance equality of representation, we propose the transfer from Bourne Vale of Polling Districts PB, VA and VB to Mease and Tame and of PD XB to the new Ward of Shenstone and Stonnall, below.

Shenstone & Stonnall Ward

This is a new Ward with 2 Councillors created by the merger of the existing Wards. Without this merger and following the above transfers, Stonnall would be an over-represented single member Ward and Shenstone would be an under-represented single member Ward. Further, in the interests of equality of representation, we propose the transfer of Wall (PD WA) to facilitate the creation of the new Ward of Hammerwich with Wall balanced by the transfer of PD XB from Bourne Vale Ward as above.

Armitage and Handsacre

We propose that this Ward should remain and retain 3 Councillors. Left as it is, this Ward will have a projected electorate of 5230, with 3 Councillors representing an over-representation within the 10% variance.

Colton & the Ridwares with Kings Bromley and Longdon

We propose a new 2 member Ward created by a merger of these three single member Wards. Each of the Wards singly and collectively are over-represented outside the 10% variance.

We propose to deal with this by the transfer of PDs FA and SB with their scattered populations to Highfield Ward.

This does leave the new Ward underrepresented slightly outside the 10% limit. This may be dealt with by the transfer of between 100 and 300 electors from the new Ward to Armitage and Handsacre Ward if this is reasonably possible. This would bring the new Ward within the 10% variance and reduce the over-representation of Armitage and Handsacre.

Alrewas & Fradley

We propose that the Ward retains its current boundaries and remains a 3-member Ward. With a projected electorate of 5652 this represents near-perfect equality of representation.

Whittington

We propose that the Ward remains a 2-member Ward. With a projected electorate of 4188 on current boundaries, this represents an under-representation outside the 10% variance. This issue is addressed by the transfer of PD YC with its scattered communities to Mease and Tame Ward.

Hammerwich with Wall

This is a new 2-member Ward created by the merger of the existing Hammerwich Ward with the transfer of Polling Districts WA from the existing Shenstone Ward and LB from Chasetown Ward in the interests of greater equality of representation whilst maintaining the 2 distinct communities within Hammerwich and the village and community of Wall, together with its otherwise scattered population.

Lichfield City

We have considered the representation of the City Wards in the round. The projected City electorate justifies a representation of 15 Councillors. This is largely dealt with in the spreadsheet document headed Boundary Review Proposal Version B, with which we largely concur in respect of the City arrangements only, subject to further minor adjustments between St Johns and Boley Wards to achieve greater equality of representation.

Burntwood

Similarly, we have considered the representations of the Burntwood Wards in the round. On the projected electorate, Burntwood is entitled to 12 Councillors. With the adjustments

proposed for the new Wall and Hammerwich Ward and the incorporation of parts of Longdon Ward within Highfields Ward, we believe that our spreadsheet proposals represent a fair distribution of seats within this largely unified urban area.

Conclusion

We submit that our proposals conform with the principles espoused by the Boundary Commission, namely, equality of representation, preservation of communities and efficiency and convenience in local government overall and as between the two urban areas and varied rural areas of this District.

Polling District	Ward	Electorate 2019	Ward total electors	No. Cllrs	Electors per Cllr	Variance	Comment
DA Clifton Campville	Mease & Tame	693.8					
DB Thorpe Constantine		83					
HA Edingale		505.75					
JA Elford		514.85					
NA Harlaston		372.25					
PB St Bartholomew		225.83					
VA Botany Bay		153					
VB Hall		155					
ZA Hopwas		540					
ZB Wigginton		329.95					
YC Fisherwick		182					
Part KC Mile Oak		273	4028.43	2	2014.21	7%	Transfer to PD ZA
KA Deer Park	Fazeley	978.76					
KB Millfield		1264.36					
Part KC Mile Oak		1597.4	3840.52	2	1920.26	2%	
GA Drayton Bassett	Little Aston with Bourne Vale	231					New Ward
GB Drayton Bassett		621.74					
PA Canwell		106.09					
UA Little Aston		2593.08					
UC Shenstone Wood End		304					
Part UB (Little Hay)		75					Transfer to UC
Part UD (part Mill Green)		50					Transfer to UA
XA Canwell		25.48	4006.39	2	2003.19	7%	
			11875.34	6	1979.22	5%	

Polling District	Ward	Electorate 2019	Ward total electors	No. Cllrs	Electors per Cllr	Variance	Comment
UB Shenstone St John's	Shenstone & Stonnall	2049.62					New Ward
UD Stonnall		1251.44					
XB St Mary's		161.87	3462.93	2	1731.46	-8%	
WA Wall	Wall & Hammerwich	368.88					New Ward
LA Hammerwich		984					
LB Pool		343					
LC Ridgway		1930	3625.88	2	1812.94	-4%	
BA Armitage	Armitage with Handsacre	3011.24					Note 1
BB Handsacre		2218.48	5229.72	3	1743.24	-7%	
EA Colton	Colton, the Ridwares, Kings Bromley and Longdon	637.12					New Ward - Note 1
FA Curborough & Elmhurst		159					
MA Hamstall Ridware		273					
QA Kings Bromley		990.94					
SB Longdon		1176.72					
TA Mavesyn Ridware		939.24	4176.02	2	2088.01	11%	
AA Alrewas	Alrewas & Fradley	2499.94					
AB Fradley Village		2193.71					
AC Fradley South		958.91	5652.56	3	1884.18	0%	
AD Streethay	Whittington	1859.96					
YA Barracks		177					
YB St Gles		1969.04	4006	2	2003	7	
		26153.11	26153	14	1868.07	0%	
From Sheet 1		11875.34	11875.34	6	1948.89	4%	
Total		38028.45	38028	20	1901.42	1%	

Note 1

The variance between these two Wards may be addressed by the transfer of between 100 and 300 electors from the new Ward to Armitage and Handsacre should such a move be reasonably possible.


Polling District	Ward	Electorate 2019	Ward total electors	No. Cllrs	Electors per Cllr	Variance	Comment	
CC Crescent	Boney Hay & Central	706.83						
CD Longfellow		651						
CE Spinney		1156						
CF Redwood		937.9						
CJ2 Princess 2		141.16						
CG Rochester		1623	5215.89	3	1738.63	-8%		
CS1 Mossbank 1	Summerfield & All Saints	1477.84						
CS2 Mossbank 2		570						
CT Newgate		1168.6						
CA All Saints		1544.96						
CB Elder		1318.01	6079.41	3	2026.47	8%		
CH Holly Grove	Chase Terrace	1566.88						
CJ1 Princess 1		848.76						
CK Thorpe		1629.4	4045.04	2	2022.52	8%		
CQ1 Hunslet	Highfield	1037.94						
CR1Leam		1002.97						
CR2 St Matthews		1205.99						
SA Gentleshaw		184						
FB Farewell & Chorley		292.76	3723.66	2	1861.83	1%		
CL Cedar	Chasetown	772.25						
CM Island		274.73						
CN St Annes		609.93						
CP Vale		2064.83	3722	2	1861	1		
			22786	12	1898.8	-1%		

Lichfield
 district council
 www.lichfielddc.gov.uk
 District Council House
 Frog Lane
 Lichfield
 Staffs
 WS13 6YY
 Telephone: 01543 308131
 mapping@lichfielddc.gov.uk

Document Name:
 new wards

**New Ward
 Proposals 2015**

Alternative Proposals



Drawing Details:
 Scale: NOT TO SCALE
 Date: 10/02/2014
 Drawing No: Version 1.2
 Drawing Prepared by:
 Gareth Thomas - GIS Manager

Coordinate System: British National Grid
 Projection: Transverse Mercator
 Datum: OSGB 1936
 False Easting: 400,000.0000
 False Northing: -100,000.0000
 Central Meridian: -2.0000
 Scale Factor: 0.9996
 Latitude Of Origin: 49.0000
 Units: Meter

N
 W ← → E
 S

© Crown Copyright.
 Database Rights 2013
 Lichfield District Council.
 Licence No : 100017765.